

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,619	03/31/2004		Joo-ho Kim	1793.1234	3826	
49455	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER		
STEIN, MO 1400 EYE S		& BUI, LLP	LE, DUNG ANH			
SUITE 300	IRLLI, I	· · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005					

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			L
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/813,619	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	DUNG A. LE	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of teriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Metatute cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comr ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	ation.	e e	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	2 1 121/d\
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFT	7-152
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action of form 11	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.). § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	. Application No	
Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	n Application No	Stane
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have be	en received in this National C	Jiage
application from the International B	a list of the certified conies	not received.	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the certified copies		
·			
Attachment(s)	•		Dle
	4) Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing. Review (PTO-94	TO)	No(s)/Mail Date)-152)
	SB/08) 5)		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	reign priority under 35 U.S.0 ments have been received. ments have been received is priority documents have be gureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies 4) Intervented in the paper serior	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). In Application No In Application (PTO-413) In No(s)/Mail Date In Informal Patent Application (PTO-413)	Stage) L

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Previous Election/Restrictions is withdrawn. This is new ground of Election/Restrictions

Election/Restrictions.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to A read-only recording medium, classified in Class 428/ subclass 800.

Group II, claim(s) 17-19 and 20-30, Claims 17-19 drawn to Method of optically reading information recorded on the read-only recorded medium, classified in Class 396/subclass 275; claims 20-30 drawn to: Method for forming a read-only recording medium. Class 430/138.

- a) Species I, e.g. claims 17-19: Method of optically reading information recorded on the read-only recorded medium, classified in Class 396/subclass 275.
- b) Species II, e.g. claims 20-30: Method for forming a read-only recording medium. Class 430/138.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as

Art Unit: 2818

claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention, for example, in the claim 25, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a mask layer of metal oxide layer of Read-only medium is formed through the use a medium melting point method instead of a high melting point.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Applicants elect Group II, Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

Art Unit: 2818

that a claim is all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the selection, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

M.P.E.P. 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am- 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818